

Environmental Classification Summary

PART 1. PROJECT DESCRIPTION																
WIN		THER SR(S)?			COUNTY							CREATED		FORM REVISED		
C11228A	112		OR		Clallam						03/21	1/2002				
711228A <i>Title (WIN):</i> SR 112/1.76 Mile E of SR 113 Jun					inciton Township 31N RANGE											
Type of Work: Environmental Retrofit					12W											
BEGIN (WIN)							WITHIN							AREA (WRIA)	NO. & NAME	
					0.00		No			19 Lyre Hoko Ba			Basın			
MP 24.88 MP 24.88 Miles 0.00																
PART 2. PERI			S REQUIRED			1				<u> </u>	A					
T	Permit or A		∇ 0¥	101		Permit or Approval										
					Yes ● No Nat. Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Baseline General for Construction ☐ Stormwater Site Plan											
ı	ndividual Pern	. —	individual /	4 · · · · · · · ·		☐ Temporary Erosion Sediment Control Plan (TESC)										
	Nationwide T		Approved Ca	tegoric	al	☐ Yes										
◯ Yes • No	Coast Gua		usions			0	○ Yes No State Waste Discharge Permit									
Yes						Temporary Modification of Water Quality (TWQM) standards										
● Yes ○ No	Critical Are	ea Ordin	ance (CAO)	Permi	it		○ Yes ● No Tribal Permit(s) (Any)									
○ Yes • No	Flood Plai	n Develo	pment Perm	nit		0	Yes	● N	No	Section Recre	on 4(f)/6 eation A	S(f): Wil Ireas, H	dlife R listoric	lefuges, Propertie	s	
◯ Yes	Yes ● No Forest Practices Approval				0	Yes	● N	No		r Use P						
● Yes ○ No Hydraulic Project Approval				● Yes ○ No Water quality certification — Sec. 401 Issued By: DOE												
○ Yes No Local Building or Site Development Permits				0	ther	Perm	nits	List:								
◯ Yes • No	Local Clea	aring & G	irading Perm	nits			Yes	● N	No							
◯ Yes	Nat. Histo	ric Prese	rvation Act	- Sect	ion 106											
◯ Yes No	(NPDES)	Municipa	ıl Stormwate	r Disc	harge											
PART 3. ENVI	RONMENTAL	CLASSI	FICATION													
	N	IEPA				SEPA										
☐ Catego	orically exclud	ded per 2	23 CFR 771.	117()			Cate	egori	ically e	exempt	per WA	C 197	'-11-800		
□ Documented CE (DCE)					□ Determination of Non-Significance (DNS)											
☐ Environmental Assessment (EA)					☐ Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)											
☐ Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)					☐ Other Action ☐ Adoption											
☐ Supplemental EIS (SEIS)					☐ Addendum											
APPROVAL S	IGNATURES															
OSC ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS OFFICE MANAGER DATE				REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONTACT												
					K. Mueller											
							-1, 1,									
FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION (FOR ECS USE ONLY) DATE						CC	COMPLETED BY									
						D. Evans										
							Te	lepho	ne:	360 70	4 3263		FAX:	360 357 27	139	

Environmental Classification Summary (continued)

PART	Γ 4. ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS	WIN C11228A
Atta	If the project involve work in or affect any of the following? Identify proposed mitigation. ach additional pages or supplemental information if necessary.	
1.	Air Quality Identify any anticipated air quality issues.	
	Is project included in Metropolitan Transportation Plan?	
	Located in an Air Quality Non-Attainment Area (for carbon monoxide, ozone, or PM 10)?	○ Yes No
	Exempt from Air Quality conformity requirements?	● Yes ○ No
2.	Critical/Sensitive Areas Identify any known Critical or Sensitive Areas as designated by local	
	Growth Management Act ordinances.	
	a. Aquifer Recharge Area, Wellhead Protection Area, or Sole Source Aquifer	
	None	
	None	
	h. Canlania III. Harandaya Ayaa	
	b. Geologically Hazardous Area	
	None	
	c. Habitat List known species.	
	(1) Threatened/Endangered Species or Priority Habitat or Species. Indicate state or federal listing.	
	Programmatic biological assessments were performed for USFWS and NOAA Fisheries. This project will I	
	Pacific Salmon EFH. A "may affect, but not likely to adversely affect" determination was made for Bald Ea	agles, and a "no
	effect" determination was made for Marbled Murrelets and Spotted Owls.	
	(2) General fish and wildlife habitat	
	Rural setting would provide habitat for a variety of animal species.	
	d. Wetlands. Estimate impacted categories and acreage. Are wetlands present?	○ Yes No
	Estimated Acres Impacted: 0 Acres	
3.	Cultural Resources/Historic Structures Identify any historic or archaeological resources.	
	A cultural resources Assessment conducted by Western Shore Heritage Services, Inc. concluded that the project document	
	have the potential to affect significant cultural resources. No additional cultural resources work appears to be warra	nted.
4.	Flood Plains or Ways Is the project located in a 100-year flood plain?	
	If yes, is the project located in a 100-year floodway?	○ Yes ● No
	Will the project impact a 100-year flood plain?	○ Yes ● No
5.	Hazardous and Problem Waste	
1	Identify potential sources and type. Is project likely to involve site clean-up?	◯ Yes
		© 100 © 110
6	Noise Identify potential sensitive receptors or previous mitigation commitments.	
	Not a type 1 project.	
	root a type 1 project.	
PPSC: RE	USED 3/98 (MJC) DATE PRINT	ED: 12/5/2005 - PAGE 2 OF 3

Environmental Classification Summary (continued)

7.		ks, Recreation Areas, Wildlife Refuges, Historic Properties, or Scenic Rivers/Byways, 4(f)/6(f) I	ands	
	Nor	ntify areas of impact.		
	1101			
	<u> </u>			
8.		source Lands Identify areas of impact. Agricultural		
		None		
	b.	Forest/Timber		
		None		
		Mineral		
		None		
9.		ers, Streams (continuous, intermittent), or Tidal Waters Identify by name, proximity to project, and Washington Fisheries WA Stream No.	10 0121	
		Identify by name, proximity to project, and Washington Stream Catalog Number. Fisheries WA Stream No. Ecology 305b Report No.	19.0121	
		Identify stream crossing structures by type		
		Unnamed tributary to the Pysht River - crossing structure is a culvert		
10	Tril	pal Lands Identify.		
	Nor	•		
11	Vio	ual Quality Will project impact roadside classification or visual aspects?	○ Yes	No
11.	V 15	will project impact roadside classification of visual aspects:	<u></u>	<u> </u>
12	Wa	ter Quality/Storm Water	◯ Yes	No No No
12.	wa	tor waterly otorin trator		No
		Will project include water quality/quantity treatment for existing pavement?		● No
		Has a NPDES municipal general permit been issued for this WRIA?	○ Yes	● No
12			Yes	○ No
13.		ve previous environmental commitments been made in project area? Identify. DOT has an ongoing commitment to remove prioritized fish passage barriers throughout the state.	U TES	ONO
		201 has an ongoing communent to remove prioritized rish passage ourrers amoughout the state.		
14.	Are	long-term maintenance commitments necessary for this project? Identify.	Yes	○ No
	Cul	verts require long term maintenance.		

PART 4. ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS (CONTINUED)

WIN C11228A